

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/656,626	09/07/2000	Steven A. Clark	43420/118	7545	
26371 7	590 07/25/2003				
FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER		
777 EAST WIS	SCONSIN AVENUE		COMBS, JA	COMBS, JANELL A	
MILWAUKEE, WI 53202-5308					
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	<i>f</i>	
	•		DATE MAILED: 07/25/2003	CF	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A ·						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	i			
Advisory Action	09/656,626	CLARK ET AL.	1			
•	Examin r	Art Unit				
•	Janelle Combs-Morillo	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	ivoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) \(\square\) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.			
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment			
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required place the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ance because: See Continuation	<u>Sheet</u> .				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-30 and 32-66</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	niner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	(-)(
S. Patent and Trademark Office						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: the instant tensile properties in new claim 66 have not previously been considered and/or "a substantially uniform...grain structure" has not previously been considered.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the declaration filed on July 11, 2003 has not been considered because it contains a new interpretation/ definition of "generally round grain structure" which would require further consideration and search. The instant claims remain rejected over the prior art of record for the reasons stated in the Final Rejection.

GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER